

Steering Committee Minutes March 15, 2008

Steering Committee Members

Margarette Morgan	Bonsall
Abby King	Borrego Springs
Bill Collins	Borrego Springs
Donna Tisdale	Boulevard
Jack Vandover	Crest/Dehesa/Granite Hills/Harbison Canyon
Harry Christiansen	Fallbrook
Robert Frey	Hidden Meadows
Shirley Fischer	Jacumba
Dan Neirinckx	Jamul/Dulzura
Jack Shelver	Julian
Bev Esry	Lake Morena/Campo
Rick Smith	Lakeside
Vern Denham	Pine Valley
Lucille Goodman	Pine Valley
Carl Meyer	Potrero
Rua Petty	Rainbow
Lois Jones	San Dieguito
Lou Schooler	Tecate
Henry Palmer	Twin Oaks
Jack Phillips	Valle De Oro
Oliver Smith	Valley Center

Planning Commission

Michael Beck, Planning Commissioner

Staff

Devon Muto, Chief
Bob Citrano, Planner III
Eric Lardy, DPLU Staff
Jimmy Wong, DPLU Staff
Claudia Anzures, County Counsel

Public

Andy Washburn	Valley Center CPG	Larry Pearce	Rainbow Planning Group
Bud Swanson	Rainbow CPG		
Charlene Ayers	Ranters Roost	Y. Sachiko	District 3 – Supervisor
Chuck Sanacore	Fallbrook CPG	Kohatsu	Slater-Price
Doug Paul	Campo		
Jessica Hanson	Campo		

Meeting Commenced at 9:09 a.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Mr. Beck gave welcome and introductions; he also gave his respects to Mike Thometz who he described as a great activist.

Mr. Muto gave appreciations for the large turnout on short notice, and thanked the group for meeting in the alternate location.

II. DISCUSSION OF DRAFT REGIONAL LAND USE ELEMENT

Mr. Beck commented that the point of the meeting is to not do wordsmithing, but to get ideas out into the open. He reminded them that the wording is being changed to be more active, not containing shoulds or shalls. He then gave the group options on if they wanted to make motions or if they wanted

There was discussion on how to best hold discussions and present the Steering Committee opinion to the Board of Supervisors. The status of the meeting minutes was asked, and Mr. Muto replied that the minutes will be brought at the next regular steering committee meeting in April.

There were questions on if the March 17th was still the date to submit comments by. Additionally, there were comments that the Community Planning and Sponsor Group review periods should not be as compressed. Mr. Beck responded that they would still like comments turned but that this is not the final comment period on the document.

Questions arose on the process following the Steering Committee's comments, and Mr. Muto replied that following this process staff is going to prepare a strikeout/underline version of the current document and bring it back to the Steering Committee. Mr. Muto clarified that the document is going to have comments from the Steering Committee, Interest Group and our Consultant. Several members expressed their desire to maintain a Steering Committee goals and policies separate from the other groups.

Mr. O. Smith commented that Valley Center voted for limited confidence in the process, and desired to have enforceable community plans and design guidelines. Mr. Beck commented that the efforts are a reasonable goal to complete the regional elements, and that the process can not be simultaneous.

Phillips: Motion to vote on issues, also taking a consensus motion for acceptable goals and policies

Petty: Second

Vote 13-5-1 Motion does not pass but accepted as advisory

Mr. Phillips explained his handout and the group discussed the option of taking items listed on it on consent. After a discussion Mr. Beck suggested that taking by items on consent would not be appropriate and discussions on the Draft Land Use Element begun with policy LU-1.24, taking motions on consenting items as appropriate and giving comments that will return for review in an updated version of the Draft Land Use Element at a subsequent Steering Committee meeting.

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.23 as written
O. Smith: Second
Motion Withdrawn

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.24 as written
Jones: Second
Motion Withdrawn

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.25 as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Morgan: Motion to approve policy LU-1.29 as written
Petty: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.31 as written
Petty: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.31 as written
Petty: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.38 as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve policy LU-1.40 as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

There was a break at 11:00 and discussions resumed on the Draft Land Use Element at 11:12 with section 2, the Village Regional Category.

Phillips: Motion to approve goal LU-2.B as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve goal LU-2.C as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve goal LU-2.D as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Phillips: Motion to approve goal LU-2.G as written
Jones: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

O. Smith: Motion to approve Policy LU-2.5 as written
Phillips: Second
Motion Passes 19-0-0

Mr. Beck suggested that the group conclude discussions with Policy 2.9 and continue at a future steering committee meeting. Mr. Beck clarified that the comments voiced have gone to staff, will be incorporated into the Draft Land Use Element and brought back to the Steering Committee for voting on.

III. PUBLIC COMMENT (NON-AGENDA)

Mr. Swanson asked if the comments were going to all be in one document or if they were going to be separated by only Steering Committee comments. Mr. Muto responded that he is going to attempt to bring the Steering Committee back its own document, adding that he may need to come up with a consensus version as well. Mr. Swanson followed up by voicing his desire to see all the comments and have the group vote on them, and asked how the county is going to respond to those who did comment. Mr. Muto replied that the Steering Committee has requested their own version, and that he would respond to comments with as much detail as possible.

Mr. Anderson asked what period of time the map exists for and his concern that the Board of Supervisors can change it.

Mr. Sanacore commented that he does not agree with policy LU-2.6 and the fact that it reduces parking requirements in a transit node; he explained that he would like to see more parking for users to park and take public transportation.

Mr. Denham commented that there are two different types of communities, those within the County Water Authority and those outside of it and asked that people remember that. Ms. Morgan asked the group to remember that each community has its own view but that the group can look at global issues. She stated her desire for the community plans to be consistent to the regional plan and specific to the communities

Mr. Petty informed the group he has commented on an upcoming PAA policy and asked people to look at his comments.

There were discussions on the next steps of review, and it was concluded that there would be a quorum to finish review of the Draft Land Use Element on Saturday, March 22.